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Abstract—Retrieving keywords requires speed and compactness. A trie is one of the data structure to retrieve keywords, and the double array is one of the implementation methods for the trie. The retrieval algorithm for the double array is fast, and its data structure has compactness. An edge of the trie is represented by a character in previous researches related to the double array, but there are no researches discussing if the edge is represented n-gram. Therefore, this paper proposes the data structure and the retrieval algorithm for the double array which represents an edge by n-byte. This paper also proposes a method to compress CODE array. From the experimental results comparing with the original double array by using single-byte and multi-byte character sets, the size and the retrieval speed of the proposed method became 62-64% and 1.18-1.3 times, respectively. When the CODE is compressed, the sizes of the proposed method became 41-59%.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In ubiquitous environments such as smart phones and PDAs, the storage capacity is often limited. Retrieving keywords used in many applications requires speed and compactness. A trie is one of data structures to retrieve keywords. In the trie, common prefixes of stored keys are merged and each edge is labeled with a character consisting of keys. Therefore, the trie has the property to retrieve all prefix words. Key retrieval always starts from the root of the trie and traverses nodes by one-by-one character in the key, and does not depend on the number of keys in the trie. From above these features, the trie is used in various fields such as natural language processing, network routing, and so on. Recently, the trie is often used as an associative array like a map class in C++ in order to improve the array by hash tables. The hash approach has some collisions of keys, and the worst-case retrieval time depends on the number of stored keys.

Fig. 1 shows a sample of the trie for key set K={"aaac#", "aab#", "ab#", "abb#", "abba#"} . '#' is added at the end of all keys. The end-mark is used to avoid confusion between keys "ab" and "abba". When "abba" is retrieved, "ab" as the prefix word of "abba" can be retrieved.

II. DOUBLE ARRAY

A trie is a tree structure to store some keys. The pronunciation of “trie” comes from “retrie” to distinguish from “tree. In the trie, common prefixes of stored keys are merged and each edge is labeled with a character consisting of keys. Because the trie can retrieve common prefix keywords and predictive keywords, it is used in information retrieval systems [1], natural language processing [2], IP address routing tables [3], and packet filtering [4]. Moreover, the trie is often used as an associative array [5] like a map class in C++ in order to improve the array by hash tables.

A double array is one of the retrieval methods by using the trie. This method uses two arrays called BASE and CHECK, and it has speed and compactness [6], [7]. An edge of the trie is represented by a character in previous researches related to the double array. As for the compression of the double array, there are methods dividing the trie [8], [9], a method removing BASE array [10], but there are no researches discussing if the edge is represented n-gram. Therefore, this paper proposes the double array method which represents an edge by n-byte. This paper also proposes a method to compress CODE array by using the double array, because CODE array becomes big with n’s increasing.

Section II describes the trie and the double array. Section III describes the proposed data structures and retrieval algorithms. Experimental evaluations are given in Section IV. Finally, Section V concludes the proposed algorithm and describes further works.

Fig. 1. An example of a trie.

A double array is one of data structures to implement tries. There are some other data structures to implement tries, which are a two-dimensional array, a linked list, and a LOUDS (Level-Order Unary Degree Sequence) [11]. In these three data structures, the two-dimensional array is the fastest and the LOUDS is the slowest in the retrieval speed. In the space, the LOUDS is the smallest and the
two-dimensional array is the biggest. There are trade-offs in the time and the space. The double array can keep the high speed of the two-dimensional array and compresses it. That is to say, traversing one character for the double array is O(1).

The double array uses two one-dimensional arrays named BASE and CHECK. In the double array, when an arc labeled by character c traverses from parent node s to child node t, the following equations are satisfied:

\[ t = BASE[s] + CODE[c], \text{CHECK}[t] = s, \text{where CODE}[c] \text{ represents a numerical code of character c. The edge traversal takes O(1) constantly. When the traversal reaches the leaf node s, BASE[s] is set to a negative number which represents a pointer toward records associated with the key. Fig. 2 shows the double array for Fig. 1. This data structure is called an original double array.}\

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\
\hline
\text{BASE} & 1 & -1 & 2 & 4 & 7 & 5 & 13 & -3 & 1 & 10 & -4 & 13 & -2 & -5 \\
\text{CHECK} & 9 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 10 & 10 & 10 & 7 & 12 \\
\end{array}
\]

![Fig. 2. Double array for Fig. 1.](image)

**CODE**

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & a & b & c \\
\end{array}
\]

**III. PROPOSAL METHOD**

**A. Outline**

An edge of the trie is represented by a character in previous researches for the double array in order to retrieve prefixes of a given key. Since characters are represented by 1 byte in the ASCII character set, an edge is represented by 1 byte. When an edge is 1 byte, the maximum of the index of CODE array is \(256(=2^8)\). The size is very small.

Fig. 3 shows the trie represented edges as 2 characters for key set K. The edge starting the end-mark is represented by 1 byte. Other end-marks joins a previous character, and the edge is represented by 2 bytes. For example, “b#” is traversed from node 4 to node 7.

![Fig. 3. An example of 2-bytes trie.](image)

In Fig. 1, since the end-mark is traversed from node numbers 5 and 10, “ab” and “abb” can be retrieved during retrieving “abba”. In Fig. 3, the end-mark is traversed from node number 4. In this case, when “abba” is retrieved, only “ab” is retrieved and “abb” can’t be retrieved. In the same manner, if edges of the trie are represented by n-bytes\(n \geq 2\), all prefixes of a given key can’t be retrieved. But, when trie is used to retrieve whole keys such as associative array, it is not necessary to retrieve prefixes. Therefore, in this paper, we consider to retrieve whole keys and a method to represent edges as n-byte is proposed. This method is named n-gram double array. When edges are represented n-byte, the size of CODE array becomes big. In the double array, CODE array is used to return values corresponding to characters of edges. But, in the n-gram double array, when the number of characters of edges increases, the size of CODE array becomes big. Moreover, a data structure which compresses CODE array by the double array is proposed.

**B. N-Gram Double Array**

Fig. 4 shows the 2-gram double array for Fig. 3. CODE array is prepared for characters of all edges. The edges including the end-mark are represented by 1 byte or 2 bytes for 2-byte trie such as Fig. 4. In 3-byte trie, the edges including the end-mark are represented by 1 byte, 2 bytes, or 3 bytes. BASE and CHECK arrays are constructed in the same manner of the original double array.

**Fig. 4. 2-gram double array for key set K.**

![Fig. 4. 2-gram double array for key set K.](image)

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
\hline
\text{BASE} & 1 & -3 & 4 & 1 & -1 & -8 & -4 & -5 & -2 \\
\text{CHECK} & 4 & 1 & 1 & 8 & 4 & 4 & 3 & 6 & 3 \\
\end{array}
\]

**CODE**

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & a & a & b & a & b \# \\
\end{array}
\]

An input of retrieval algorithm is \(a_1a_2...a_{i+k-1}\), and \(a_i\) represents 1-byte character. \(k\) is the length of the input string, and \(a_{k+1}\) is “#”. \(a_{i+1}...a_{i+k}\) in this algorithm is represented as characters from \(i\)-th character to \(j\)-th character for the input string \(a\). CODE function returns the value corresponding to \(n\)-byte characters. An output is TRUE if the input string is found, and is FAILURE if the input string is not found.

[Retrieval algorithm]

\[(nr-1)\ s=s1;\]
\[(nr-2)\ \text{for}(i=k+1-n; i+n);\]
\[(nr-3)\ t = BASE[s]+CODE(a_{i+1});\]
\[(nr-4)\ \text{if}(CHECK[t] != s)\ return \text{FAILURE};\]
\[(nr-5)\ s = t;\]
\[(nr-6)\]
\[(nr-7)\ t = BASE[s]+CODE(a_{i+k+1});\]
\[(nr-8)\ \text{if}(CHECK[t] != s)\ return \text{FAILURE};\]
\[(nr-9)\ return \text{TRUE};\]

In the loop from (nr-2) to (nr-6), edges without the end-mark are traversed by each \(n\)-byte characters. CODE returns the value for \(n\)-byte characters in (nr-3). Edges with the end-mark are traversed, and the traversal is checked the
traversal in \((nr-7)\) and \((nr-8)\). In \((nr-7)\), CODE returns the value for the remaining characters of the input key. The length of the characters is from 1-byte to \(n\)-byte. When the traversal of the end-mark is succeeded, this algorithm returns TRUE in \((nr-9)\).

For example, key "aab#" with \(k=3\) is retrieved. First of all, \(s\) is set to 1 in \((nr-1)\). Next, \(t\) is set to \(3(=\text{BASE}[1]+\text{CODE("aa")})=1+2\) in \((nr-3)\) and \(\text{CHECK}[3]=1\) is satisfied. \(s\) is set to 3 in \((nr-5)\). Because next 2-byte of the input key is "b#" that contains the end-mark, the for loop is finished. In \((nr-7)\), \(t\) is set to 10 (=\text{BASE}[3]+\text{CODE("b#")}), and \(\text{CHECK}[10]=3\) is satisfied. Because the last traversal is succeeded, this algorithm returns TRUE in \((nr-9)\).

In this method, although CODE array stored the value for \(n\)-byte, other parts such as BASE and CHECK arrays are the same as the original double array. Therefore, this structure can be updated dynamically.

C. The Compression of the CODE Array

CODE array is used to return values corresponding to characters of edges. But, in the \(n\)-gram double array, when the number of characters of edges increases, the size of CODE array becomes big. Therefore, CODE array is compressed by the trie and the double array. Fig. 5 shows the trie representation for the CODE array of Fig. 4.

![Fig. 5. The trie representation of CODE.](image)

The maximum of the depth for this trie is \(n\) for \(n\)-gram double array. Therefore, the depth of the leaf node for this trie is \(n\), or the edges to the leaf nodes are the end-mark. This trie is constructed by the double array. Fig. 6 shows the double array for CODE.

![Fig. 6. The double array for CODE.](image)

C_BASE and C_CHECK are BASE array and CHECK array for the double array of CODE, respectively. In this CODE double array, the CODE array that differs from the CODE array of the \(n\)-gram double array is needed. The array is called C_CODE array. A value for each character is stored to the array.

A CODE retrieval algorithm by using the double array returns the value corresponding to \(n\)-byte characters \(c_1\) to \(c_n\). Returned value for the leaf node \(s\) is stored to C_BASE[s]. For example, CODE value for "aa" is 2 in Fig. 4, and "aa" is reached to node 5 in Fig. 5. Therefore, 2 is stored to C_BASE[5]. This algorithm returns 2 for string "aa".

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{C_BASE} & = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 3 & 7 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 4 \\ \end{bmatrix} \\
\text{C_CHECK} & = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \end{bmatrix} \\
\text{C_CODE} & = \begin{bmatrix} \# & a & b & c \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

IV. Evaluations

Programs of the proposed method were written in C language. These programs were implemented on the following PC: Intel Xeon 2.4GHz (L2 cache:256K-Byte). The programs compared in terms of the space usage and retrieval speed. 147,478 words of WordNet3.0 and 87,995 words of Japanese WordNet as the key sets were used in experiments. The character set of Japanese WordNet was UTF8.

The double array is represented the original double array. The BASE value and CHECK value are represented as 4 bytes. The value for the CODE array is represented as 4 bytes. Table I and Table II show the space usage and retrieval speed about the \(n\)-gram double array with the CODE array for 2 key sets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I: THE RESULT OF WORDNET FOR (n)-GRAM DOUBLE ARRAY.</th>
<th>1-gram</th>
<th>2-gram</th>
<th>3-gram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The number of CODE values</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>65,536</td>
<td>16,777,216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The size of CODE array (byte)</td>
<td>1,024</td>
<td>262,144</td>
<td>67,108,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The number of nodes for the double array</td>
<td>880,273</td>
<td>513,948</td>
<td>391,254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The size of BASE array (byte)</td>
<td>3,521,092</td>
<td>2,055,792</td>
<td>1,565,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The size of CHECK array (byte)</td>
<td>3,521,092</td>
<td>2,055,792</td>
<td>1,565,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total size (byte)</td>
<td>7,043,208</td>
<td>4,373,278</td>
<td>70,238,896</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rate of the size</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The retrieval time (ms)</td>
<td>27.64</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>24.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


A new double array has been proposed by representing edges as byte n-gram. From experimental observations by using single-byte and multi-byte character sets, at the 2-gram double array, the size and the retrieval speed of the proposed method became 62-64% and 1.18-1.3 times, respectively. When the CODE is represented by the double array, the sizes of the proposed method became 41-59%.

The future works are to apply a compact double array proposed by Yata [12] and to conduct experiments by using large key sets.

V. CONCLUSION
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